UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: PROTON-PUMP INHIBITOR PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. II)

MDL No. 2789 (CCC) (MF)

This document relates to:

Joshua Smith as PR of the Estate of Gwendolyn Smith v. Abbott Laboratories et al

Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-16013

SECOND AMENDED SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff(s) named below file(s) this Second Amended Short Form

Complaint and Jury Demand against Defendants named below by and through their undersigned counsel and as permitted by Case Management Order No. 7. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Master Long Form

Complaint and Jury Demand in In re: Proton-Pump Inhibitor Products Liability Litigation,

MDL 2789, in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey pursuant to Case Management Order No. 7.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand*, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Identification of Plaintiff(s)

1.	Name of individual injured/deceased due to the use of PPI Product(s):			
	Gwendolyn Smith			
2.	Consortium Claim(s): The following individual(s) allege damages for loss of			
consorti	ium:			

3. Survival and/or Wrongful Death Claims:				
	a.	Plaintiff, Joshua Smith, is filing this case in a representative capacity		
		as the Personal Representative of the Estate of Gwendolyn Smith		
deceased.				
	b.	Survival Claim(s): The following individual(s) allege damages for survival		
		claims, as permitted under applicable state laws: Beneficiaries of		
		the Estate of Gwendolyn Smith		
4.	As	a result of using PPI Products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered pain and suffering,		
emotio	nal o	listress, mental anguish, and personal and economic injur(ies) that are alleged to		
have b	een o	caused by the use of the PPI Products identified in Paragraph 10, below, but not		
limited	l to t	ne following:		
		injury to himself/herself		
		injury to the person represented		
		wrongful death		
		survivorship action		
		economic loss		
		loss of services		
		loss of consortium		
		other:		

Identification of Defendants

5. Plaintiff(s)/Decedent is/are suing the following Defendant(s) (please check all that apply):

\checkmark	Abbott Laboratories
\checkmark	AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP
\checkmark	AstraZeneca LP
	GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Healthcare Holdings (US) LLC
\checkmark	Merck & Co. Inc. d/b/a Merck, Sharp & Dohme Corporation
	Novartis Corporation
	Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation
	Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics, Inc.
	Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Inc.
	Novartis Consumer Health, Inc.
\checkmark	Pfizer, Inc.
	The Procter & Gamble Company
	The Procter & Gamble Manufacturing Company
\checkmark	Takeda Pharmaceuticals U.S.A., Inc.
\checkmark	Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc.
\checkmark	Takeda Development Center Americas, Inc. f/k/a Takeda Global Research & Development Center, Inc.
\checkmark	Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited
	Other(s) Defendant(s) (please identify):
A	

JURISDICTION & VENUE

<u>Jurisd</u>	liction:			
6.	Jurisdiction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:			
	✓ Diversity of Citizenship			
	Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must be pled in			
suffici	ent detail as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure)			
Venue	2:			
7.	District Court(s) in which venue was proper where you might have otherwise filed			
this	Short Form Complaint absent Case Management Order No. 7 entered by this Court or to where remand could be ordered: Western District of Arkansas,			
	yetteville Division			
	CASE SPECIFIC FACTS Huntsville AP			
8.	Plaintiff(s) currently reside(s) in (City, State): Huntsville, AR			
9. the fol	To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, Plaintiff/Decedent used PPI Product(s) during llowing time period: approx. 2002-2017			
10.	Plaintiff/Decedent used the following PPI Products, for which claims are being			
asserte	ed:			
	Dexilant			
	✓ Nexium			
	Nexium 24HR			
	✓ Prevacid			
	Prevacid 24HR			

]]	Prilosec		
]	Prilosec OTC		
✓	7 1	Protonix		
		Other (List All):		
11. The	e inju	uries suffered by Plaintiff/Decedent as a result of the use of PPI Products		
include, amo	ong	others that will be set forth in Plaintiff's discovery responses and medical		
records:				
		Acute Interstitial Nephritis (AIN)		
✓		Acute Kidney Injury (AKI)		
√		Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD)		
✓	<u> </u>	End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD)		
✓	<u> </u>	Dialysis		
✓	✓ Death			
✓		Other(s) (please specify):		
F	Renal Failure			
=				
_				
_				
12. At 1	the ti	me of the Plaintiff's/Decedent's diagnosis of injury, Plaintiff/Decedent		
resided in (C	City,	State): Huntsville, AR		

CAUSES OF ACTION

- 13. Plaintiff(s), again, hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* as if fully set forth herein.
- 14. The following claims and allegations asserted in the Master Long Form

Complaint and Jury Demand are herein more specifically adopted and incorporated by

reference by Pl	aintiff(s) please check all that apply):		
\checkmark	Count I: Strict Product Liability		
\checkmark	Count II: Strict Product Liability – Design Defect		
\checkmark	Count III: Strict Product Liability – Failure to Warn		
\checkmark	Count IV: Negligence		
\checkmark	✓ Count V: Negligenc Per Se		
Count VI: Breach of Express Warranty			
Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty			
Count VIII: Negligent Misrepresentation			
Count IX: Fraud and Fraudulent Misrepresentation			
✓ Count X: Fraudulent Concealment			
Count XI: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of the State(s			
	Count XII: Loss of Consortium		
\checkmark	Count XIII: Wrongful Death		
\checkmark	Count XIV: Survival Action		
	Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional theories and/or		
Causes of Action	on against Defendant(s) identified in Paragraph five (5) above. If Plaintiff(s)		
includes addition	onal theories of recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings,		
the specific fac	ts and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a		
manner comply	ing with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:		
10 W. 14			

the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand, as appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

	Plaintiff(s) hereby de	mand a trial by jury	y as to all claims i	n this action.
Dated:	07/29/2019			

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ James J. Rosemergy

Jeffrey J. Lowe
James J. Rosemergy
Sarah Shoemake Doles
Alyson M. Petrick
CAREY DANIS & LOWE
8235 Forsyth Blvd., Ste. 1100
St. Louis, MO 63105
Telephone: (314) 725-7700
Facsimile: (314) 721-0905
jlowe@careydanis.com
jrosemergy@careydanis.com
sdoles@careydanis.com
apetrick@careydanis.com